|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Venue |  |
| Date | gg/mm/yyyy |
| Organiser |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| ISIA Observers | 1 |  |
|  | 2 |  |
|  | 3 |  |

**Notes on the test**

|  |
| --- |
| **Environmental conditions** |
| Meteo[[1]](#footnote-1) |  |  |  |
| Visibility[[2]](#footnote-2) |  |  |  |
| Piste[[3]](#footnote-3) |  |  |  |
| Snow[[4]](#footnote-4) |  |  |  |
| Piste profile[[5]](#footnote-5) |  |  | Ok/No |
| Vertical drop |  |  | Ok/No |
| **Organisation** |
| Security measures | Piste closed in a proper way |  | Ok/No |
| Number of gates | 1st run | xx | Ok/No |
|  | 2nd run | xx | Ok/No |
| Forerunners | Name Surname | XX.XX FIS points | Ok/No |
|  | Name Surname | XX.XX FIS points | Ok/No |
| **Candidates** | **Starting** | **Pass number** |
| Woman |  |  |
| Man |  |  |

**Observers’ personal comments**

PLEASE NOTE: the following comments are just an example for the inspectors and it has be changed according to the situation

*The test has been properly prepared, the safety measures were in place, the piste has been confined so to make it not accessible for tourists and other skiers not attending the event; the vertical drop, the number of gates and the length requirements have been met. The staffs from the organisation were well prepared and available in case of need. The overall impression is that the organisation improved in comparison to the test that was held in Zermatt in 2009.*

*The general conditions in which the race took place can be defined as ideal: good snow, well packed; good visibility, sunny weather; not too cold, everything in favour of a positive result for the candidates.*

*The identity check has been done by Swiss Snowsports (all the candidates were attending a Swiss Snowsports Course)*

*The forerunners started at the beginning and at the end of each run.*

*At the end of the first run one of the openers almost stopped, and got a bad time, not up to his real performance. That’s why the first run cannot be deemed as being valid for the ISIA Test.*

**Recommendations**

PLEASE NOTE: the following recommendations are just an example for the inspectors

*Results: the results of the first run cannot be accepted as valid for the ISIA Test.*

*Security: it would be better to have a stretcher ready and available on the slope.*

*Timing and ranking system: it is good the results were communicated immediately after the first run, though it had to be clarified that the run was not valid as an ISIA Test for the reasons pointed out.*

*Forerunners: it is recommended that they start again in case of fault, if not the ISIA test is not valid such it is case for the first run.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Form filled in by |  |
|  |  |
| Approved and signed by |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

1. Clear, variable, overcast, foggy [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Perfect, good, average, poor [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. Name of the piste [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. New, packed, soft, ice, slush [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
5. Easy, medium or difficult [↑](#footnote-ref-5)